BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

SAM E. SATO, M.D.

Case No. MD-17-0746A

Holder of License No. 14758
For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine
In the State of Arizona

ORDER GRANTING REVIEW

At its public meeting on August 21, 2018, the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered Sam E. Sato, M.D. ("Respondent"), request for rehearing or review (titled "Motion for Reconsideration" and citing R4-16-103) of the Board's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Letter of Reprimand in the above referenced matter. After considering all of the evidence, the Board voted to grant Respondent’s request in part pursuant to A.A.C. R4-16-103(D)(8), by striking Finding of Fact paragraph 9 and replacing it with alternative language.
ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Respondent's request for review is granted in part. The Board's June 14, 2018 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Letter of Reprimand is modified as follows: Finding of Fact paragraph 9 is stricken and replaced with, "During the Formal Interview, the Board found that there was the potential for patient harm." The Board adopts the attached Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Letter of Reprimand as its final order in this case.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 31st day of August, 2018.

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

By Patricia E. McSorley
Executive Director

EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed
this 31st day of August, 2018 to:

Licensee, M.D.
Address of Record

Counsel
Attorney for Respondent

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed
this 31st day of August, 2018 with:

Arizona Medical Board
1740 W. Adams St., Suite 4000
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Board staff
BETORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of
SAM E. SATO, M.D.
Holder of License No. 14758
For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine
In the State of Arizona.

Case No. MD-17-0746A

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER FOR LETTER OF REPRIMAND

The Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting on April 16, 2018. Sam E. Sato, M.D. ("Respondent"), appeared with legal counsel, James W. Kaucher, Esq., before the Board for a Formal Interview pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-1451(H). The Board voted to issue Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order after due consideration of the facts and law applicable to this matter.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

2. Respondent is the holder of license number 14758 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

3. The Board initiated case number MD-17-0746A after receiving notification that Respondent voluntarily surrendered his clinical privileges at a facility while under, or to avoid, investigation relating to professional competence or conduct.

4. Actual patient harm was identified by the Board's medical consultant in that all three patients required corrective surgery.

5. Respondent was scheduled to perform surgery to correct exotropia on Patient ND's left eye on April 14, 2017. Respondent initiated the operation on the right eye, which was the non-consented eye. Respondent completed the procedure on the left eye prior to the end of the surgery.
6. Respondent was scheduled to perform surgery to correct bilateral exotropia for Patient MT on March 18, 2011, with lateral rectus recession 5 mm planned in both eyes. The right eye was mistakenly rotated laterally instead of medially and a 1 mm incision was made in the conjunctiva medially.

7. Respondent performed surgery to correct a left exotropia on Patient AGH on July 25, 2014. Respondent obtained consent for a left medial rectus recession of previously operated on muscle to remove a 2.5 mm scar. Respondent initiated the procedure on the right eye. Respondent completed the procedure on the left eye prior to the end of surgery.

8. The standard of care for the management of a patient undergoing strabismus surgery requires a physician to obtain thorough informed consent and discuss with the patient prior to surgery, proper surgical technique at surgery, and all follow up care and communication with the patient postoperatively. Accurate and complete medical records are a standard of care, as well as proper handling of all complications. Respondent deviated from this standard of care by performing strabismus procedures in three cases where the correct eye or the correct direction of procedural intent was not accurately initiated.

9. During the Formal Interview, the Board found that there was the potential for patient harm.

10. During a Formal Interview on this matter, Respondent acknowledged that errors occurred with regard to the surgical site, and testified with regard to corrective steps he has taken to ensure that similar errors do not occur in the future. Respondent testified that his current practice includes performing a second time-out procedure after the patient is asleep. Respondent stated that only one patient experienced an unnecessary incision, and that the incision did not require further repair. However, Respondent further testified
that he would not have made the same incision in two out of the three cases. With regard
to Patient AGH, Respondent testified that the incision was necessary due to scarring.
Respondent admitted that he did not have a signed informed consent agreement with
indicating that surgery on the second eye would be necessary.

11. During that same Formal Interview, a Board member commented that while
there may not have been actual harm in two of the three cases reviewed, there was the
potential for patient harm if the practice continued. A Board member also commented that
a time-out procedure should occur when the patient is awake, and relevant staff members
are all available to agree with regard to the surgical site.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over
Respondent.

2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional
conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r) ("Committing any conduct or practice that is
or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public.").

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 31st day of August, 2018.

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

By Patricia E. McSorley
Executive Director
RIGHT TO APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

Respondent is hereby notified that he has exhausted his administrative remedies.

Respondent is advised that an appeal to Superior Court in Maricopa County may be taken from this decision pursuant to title 12, chapter 7, article 6.

EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed this 31st day of August, 2018 to:

James W. Kaucher
Gust Rosenfeld PLC
One South Church Avenue, Suite 1900
Tucson, Arizona 85701-1627
Attorney for Respondent

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 31st day of August, 2018 with:

Arizona Medical Board
1740 West Adams, Suite 4000
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

[Signature: Michelle]

Board staff